

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,699 12/29/2003		Yeong Soo Nam	10125/4129	2139	
-	7590 05/18/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395			SCHECHTER, ANDREW M		
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	*
Office Action Summary		10/748,699	NAM ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Andrew Schechter	2871	•
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication a ply	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence	address
THE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION f time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion poly within the set or extended period for reply will, by stall believed by the Office later than three months after the main term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimulated will apply and will expire SIX tute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered t (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of th come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nis communication.
Status				
2a)☐ This 3)☐ Since	ection is FINAL . 2b) The this application is in condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. vance except for forma	•	the merits is
Disposition of	Claims			
4a) O 5)☐ Clain 6)☐ Clain 7)☐ Clain	n(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withd n(s) is/are allowed. n(s) is/are allowed. n(s) is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) 1-36 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideratio		•
Application Pa	apers			
10)☐ The d Applid Repla	pecification is objected to by the Exami rawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a cant may not request that any objection to the comment drawing sheet(s) including the correct or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) object he drawing(s) be held in a ection is required if the di	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a rawing(s) is objected to. See 37	7 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docume Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been receive ents have been receive riority documents have eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	d. d in Application No been received in this Nation).	nal Stage
Attachment(s)		•		
2) Notice of Dr 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 //Mail Date	Pap	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (er:	PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/748,699 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10 and 17-36, drawn to a liquid crystal display device, classified in class 349, subclass 139.
 - II. Claims 11-16, drawn to a method of manufacturing an LCD, classified in class 349, subclass 187.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the device can be made without the method step of etching the semiconductor layer with the data line, source electrode, and drain electrode as a mask, for instance.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Anthony P. Curtis, Reg. No. 46,193, on 3 May 2005, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Regarding claim 27, the examiner is unclear on the meaning of the claim limitation beginning "wherein a capacitance...". First, could the applicant point to where in the specification and figures such combinations of total overlap are discussed? Second, in Fig. 2A, for instance, shifting the gate line horizontally to the left with respect to the data line would cause more of the notch to overlap the data line, reducing the capacitance, and more of the gate electrode to overlap the data line, increasing the capacitance by a different amount, since they have different widths in the vertical direction. Thus, the examiner does not see how the capacitance would "remain substantially constant" as recited by the claim. Clarification on these points would be appreciated by the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,699

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Schechter whose telephone number is (571) 272-2302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Schechter
Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Page 4

10 May 2005